arXiv:math/0611070v1 [math.CO] 3 Nov 2006

On existence of $[a, b]$-factors avoiding given subgraphs *

Yinghong Ma[1][2] and Qinglin Yu[1][3]
[1]Center for Combinatorics, LPMC, Nankai University
Tianjing, China
[2] School of Management
Shandong Normal University, Jinan, Shandong, China
[3]Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Thompson Rivers University, Kamloops, BC, Canada

Abstract

For a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, let $i(G)$ be the number of isolated vertices in G. The *isolated toughness* of G is defined as $I(G) = min\{|S|/i(G-S) : S \subseteq V(G), i(G-S) \geq 2\}$ if G is not complete; $I(G) = |V(G)|-1$ otherwise. In this paper, several sufficient conditions in terms of isolated toughness are obtained for the existence of $[a, b]$-factors avoiding given subgraphs, e.g., a set of vertices, a set of edges and a matching, respectively.

Keywords: factor, $[a, b]$-factor, isolated toughness, avoiding property
AMS(1991) Subject Classification: 05C70

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. We use $d_G(x)$ to denote the degree of x in G and $\delta(G)$ to denote the minimum vertex degree of G. For a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$, the subgraph of G induced by S is denoted by $G[S]$, $i(G-S)$ and $c(G-S)$ are used for the number of isolated vertices and the number of components in $G-S$, respectively. A subset I of $V(G)$ is *an independent set* if no two vertices of I are adjacent in G and a set C of $V(G)$ is *a covering set* if every edge

---

*This work is supported by Shandong Provincial Taishan Scholarship of China and Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

of G is incident to a vertex in C. For any two subsets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$, $E(S,T) = \{uv \in E(G) : u \in S, v \in T\}$.

Let H be a spanning subgraph of G and a, b be two nonnegative integers satisfying $a \leq b$. We call H an $[a,b]$-*factor* of G if $a \leq d_H(x) \leq b$ for each $x \in V(G)$. When $a = 1$ and $b = m > 1$, it is not hard to see that existence of $[1,m]$-factor is equivalent to the existence of a spanning subgraph consisting of stars with no more than m edges. So $[1,m]$-factors are also referred as *star-factors*, denoted by $S(m)$-factor. For $a = b = k > 0$, $[a,b]$-factor is commonly known as *k-factor*. In particular, 1-factors are often referred as perfect matchings.

Matching problem as one of most well-established branches of graph theory, does not only lie at the heart of many applications, it also gives rise to some most matured techniques (e.g., augmenting path) and generates some deep mathematical discoveries (e.g., matching polytope theory). Since the characterization of perfect matchings were given by Tutte in 1947, the concept of perfect matching has been extended to several general forms, from k-factors to f-factors, to $[a,b]$-factors, to (g,f)-factors. In this paper, we use a new graphic parameter – isolated toughness – to establish several sufficient conditions for the existence of $[a,b]$-factors with given properties. In particular, we studied the existence of $[a,b]$-factors avoiding a set of vertices, a set of edges and a matching, respectively.

The new parameter, isolated toughness, is motivated by Chvátal's celebrated graphic parameter, toughness. It can be obtained from the definition of toughness by replacing $c(G-S)$ by $i(G-S)$. The *isolated toughness* $I(G)$ was first introduced by Ma and Liu [9] and is defined as

$$I(G) = \begin{cases} min\{\frac{|S|}{i(G-S)} \ : \ S \subseteq V(G), i(G-S) \geq 2\} & \text{if } G \text{ is not complete;} \\ |V(G)| - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To study the existence of $[a,b]$-factors, we will use a necessary and sufficient condition of $(g<f)$-factors given by Heinrich *et al.* [5].

Theorem 1.1. *(Heinrich et al. [5]) Let $g(x)$ and $f(x)$ be nonnegative integral-valued functions defined on $V(G)$. If either one of the following conditions holds*

(i) $g(x) < f(x)$ for every vertex $x \in V(G)$;

(ii) G is bipartite;

then G has a (g,f)-factor if and only if for any set S of $V(G)$

$$g(T) - d_{G-S}(T) \leq f(S)$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, \ d_{G-S}(x) \leq g(x)\}$.

In the above theorem, to confirm a graph possessing (g, f)-factors, we need only to verify the much simpler inequality above for every vertex set S, in contrast with the verification of a more complex inequality for all possible pair of disjoint vertex sets (S, T) in Lovász's original characterization of general (g, f)-factors. This simpler criterion enables us to deal with factor problems with additional properties.

Let $g(x) = a < b = f(x)$ in Theorem 1.1, it yields a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of $[a, b]$-factors. If $a = 1$ and $b = m \geq 2$, then it becomes the necessary and sufficient condition for a graph having $S(m)$-factors.

Theorem 1.2. *(Anstee [1]) Let G be a graph and let $a < b$ be two positive integers. Then G has an $[a, b]$-factor if and only if for any $S \subseteq V(G)$,*

$$a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) \leq b|S|$$

holds, where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S,\ d_{G-S}(x) \leq a - 1\}$.

Remarks: Let $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S,\ d_{G-S}(x) \leq a - 1\}$, $T' = \{x : x \in V(G) - S,\ d_{G-S}(x) \leq a\}$ and $T'' = \{x : x \in V(G) - S,\ d_{G-S}(x) = a\}$. Then $T = T' - T''$. Since $a|T''| - d_{G-S}(T'') = 0$, we have $a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) = (a|T'| - d_{G-S}(T')) - (a|T''| - d_{G-S}(T'')) = a|T'| - d_{G-S}(T')$. So T in Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to that in Theorem 1.1 when $g(x) = a$.

Use the isolated toughness as a sufficient condition, Ma and Liu [9] provided an existence theorem for $[a, b]$-factors.

Theorem 1.3. *(Ma and Liu [9]) Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq a$ and $I(G) \geq a - 1 + \frac{a}{b}$. Then G has $[a, b]$-factors.*

For convenience, we denote $\delta_G(a, b; S) = b|S| - a|T| + d_{G-S}(T)$. So Theorem 1.2 can be restated as that G has $[a, b]$-factors if and only if $\delta_G(a, b; S) \geq 0$ for any $S \subseteq V(G)$.

2 Main Results

Throughout the paper, we always assume that a, b, m and n are positive integers satisfying $1 \leq a < b$. So we will not reiterate these conditions again in the theorems or proofs.

The first result is to investigate the existence of $[a, b]$-factors in the operation of vertex-deletion.

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq a + n$ and the isolated toughness $I(G) \geq a - 1 + n + \frac{a-1}{b}$. Then, for any n-subset $V' \subset V(G)$, $G - V'$ has $[a, b]$-factors.*

The condition $I(G) \geq a-1+n+\frac{a-1}{b}$ in Theorem 2.1 can not be weakened, that is, if we replace the condition by $I(G) \geq a-1+n+\frac{a-1}{b}-\epsilon$, where ϵ is any positive real number, then there exists an n-set $V_0 \subset V(G)$ such that $G-V_0$ has no $[a,b]$-factor. Consider the following family of graphs.

Construct H as follows:

$V(H) = V(K_{m(a-1)}) \cup V((mb+1)K_1) \cup V(K_{(mb+1)(a-1+n)})$,

$E(H) = E(K_{m(a-1)}) \cup E(K_{(mb+1)(a-1+n)}) \cup (\cup_{i=1}^{mb+1} u_iv_i) \cup \{xy : x \in V(K_{m(a-1)}),\ y \in (mb+1)K_1\}$,

where $V((mb+1)K_1) = \{v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_{mb+1}\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_{mb+1}\} \subset V(K_{(mb+1)(a-1+n)})$. Let $S = V(K_{m(a-1)}) \cup V(K_{(mb+1)(a-1+n)})$. Clearly, $I(H) \leq \frac{|S|}{i(G-S)} = \frac{(mb+1)(a-1+n)+m(a-1)}{mb+1} \to a-1+n+\frac{a-1}{b}$ when $m \to +\infty$, and is less than $a-1+n+\frac{a-1}{b}$. Let $V_0 \subset V(K_{(mb+1)(a-1+n)}) \backslash \{u_1, \cdots, u_{mb+1}\}$ be an n-vertex set, then $H-V_0$ has no $[a,b]$-factors. To see this, consider the set $S = V(K_{m(a-1)}) \subset V(H)-V_0$, then we have $T = V((mb+1)K_1)$ and $a|T| - d_{H-V_0-S}(T) = (mb+1)(a-1) > mb(a-1) = b|S|$. Thus, by Theorem 1.2, $H-V_0$ has no $[a,b]$-factor. So in this sense Theorem 2.1 is best possible.

For the existence of $[a,b]$-factors resulting from the operation of edge-deletion, we first investigate star-factors and obtain the following.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq 1+n$ and $I(G) \geq \frac{1}{m-n}$, where $1 \leq n \leq \frac{m}{2}$. Then for any n-subset $E' \subset E(G)$, $G-E'$ has $S(m)$-factors.*

A sufficient condition for the existence of $[a,b]$-factors in the operation of matching-deletion is given below.

Theorem 2.3. *If a graph G satisfies $\delta(G) \geq a+n$ and $I(G) \geq a-1+\frac{a+2n-1}{b}$, then for any n-matching M of G, $G-M$ has $[a,b]$-factors.*

We next investigate hierarchy relation for the operation of vertex-deletion.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq a+n$. If, for any arbitrary n-subset $V' \subset V(G)$, $G-V'$ has $[a,b]$-factors, then, for any $(n-1)$-subset $V'' \subset V(G)$, $G-V''$ has $[a,b]$-factors as well.*

Finally we present a different type of sufficient condition for the existence of $[a,b]$-factors excluding any edge of $E(G)$.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq a+2$. If $G-\{x,y\}$ has $[a,b]$-factors for every pair of vertices $x, y \in V(G)$, then $G-e$ has $[a,b]$-factors for any given edge $e \in E(G)$.*

3 Proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.3

In order to prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a graph. Then, for any n-subset $V' \subset V(G)$, $G-V'$ has an $[a,b]$-factor if and only if for any $S \subset V(G)$ with $V' \subseteq S$*

$$\delta_G(a,b;S) = b|S| - a|T| + d_{G-S}(T) \geq bn$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S,\ d_{G-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$.

Proof: Suppose that for any n-subset $V' \subset V(G)$, $G - V'$ has $[a,b]$-factors. Let $G' = G - V'$, by Theorem 1.2, G' has $[a,b]$-factor if and only if for any $S' \subset V(G')$, $\delta_{G'}(a,b;S') \geq 0$, where $T' = \{x : x \in G' - S', d_{G'-S'}(x) \leq a-1\}$. Let $S' = S - V'$, then $T = T'$ and $\delta_{G'}(a,b;S') = b|S-V'| - a|T| + d_{G'-S'}(T) = \delta_G(a,b;S) - bn$. Therefore, $\delta_G(a,b;S) \geq bn$ since $G' - S' = G - S$ and $\delta_{G'}(a,b;S') \geq 0$.

Conversely, suppose there exists some n-subset $V_0 \subset V(G)$ such that $G' = G - V_0$ has no $[a,b]$-factor. By Theorem 1.2, there exists $S_0 \subset V(G')$ such that $\delta_{G'}(a,b;S_0) < 0$, where $T_0 = \{x : x \in G' - S_0, d_{G'-S_0}(x) \leq a-1\}$. Let $S = S_0 \cup V_0$. Then $G' - S_0 = G - S$ and $T = T_0$, and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_G(a,b;S) &= b|S_0 \cup V_0| - a|T_0| + d_{G'-S_0}(T_0) \\
&= b|S_0| - a|T_0| + d_{G'-S_0}(T_0) + b|V_0| \\
&= \delta_{G'}(a,b;S_0) + bn,
\end{aligned}$$

therefore, $\delta_G(a,b;S) < bn$, a contradiction. Hence, $G - V_0$ has $[a,b]$-factors for any n-subset $V_0 \subset V(G)$. ■

To prove the main lemma (Lemma 3.3), we will require a technical tool here stated as a corollary below which is an enriched version of the following result from Katerinis [6].

Lemma 3.2. *(Katerinis [6]) Let H be a graph and $S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_{a-1}$ a vertex partition of H such that $d_H(x) \leq j$ for each $x \in S_j$ $(1 \leq j \leq a-1)$. Then there exist an independent set I and a covering set C of H such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} (a-j)c_j \leq \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j(a-j)i_j,$$

where $c_j = |S_j \cap C|$ and $i_j = |S_j \cap I|$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let H be a graph and $S_1, S_2, \cdots, S_{a-1}$ a vertex partition of H such that $d_H(x) \leq j$ for each $x \in S_j$ $(1 \leq j \leq a-1)$. Then there* *exist a* **maximal** *independent set I and a covering set C of H such that $I \cap C = \emptyset$ and*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)c_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j(a-j)i_j,$$

where $c_j = |S_j \cap C|$ and $i_j = |S_j \cap I|$.

Proof: From Lemma 3.2, there exist an independent set I' and a covering set C' of H such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)c'_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j(a-j)i'_j,$$

where $c'_j = |S_j \cap C'|$ and $i'_j = |S_j \cap I'|$.

Note the fact that any complement of an independent set must be a covering set. Let I be a *maximal* independent set containing I', $C = V(G) - I$ and $C'' = C' - (C' \cap I')$. Then C and C'' are both covering sets. Thus $I \supseteq I'$, $C \subseteq C'' \subseteq C'$ and $I \cap C = \emptyset$. Since $c_j = |S_j \cap C| \le |S_j \cap C'| = c'_j$ and $i'_j = |S_j \cap I'| \le |S_j \cap I| = i_j$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)c_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)c'_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j(a-j)i'_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j(a-j)i_j.$$

■

The techniques used to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 are along the same line, so we present the main ideas as a lemma below.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $2 \le k \le b$. If a graph G satisfies $\delta(G) \ge a+n$ and $I(G) \ge a-1+\frac{a+kn-1}{b}$, then $\delta_G(a,b;S) = b|S| - a|T| + d_{G-S}(T) \ge kn$ for any subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $T = \{x : x \in V(G)-S,\ d_{G-S}(x) \le a-1\} \ne \emptyset$.*

Proof: Use the argument of contradiction. Suppose that there exists a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that

$$\delta_G(a,b;S) = b|S| - a|T| + d_{G-S}(T) < kn, \tag{1}$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G)-S, d_{G-S}(x) \le a-1\} \ne \emptyset$.

For each $0 \le j \le a-1$, let $T^j = \{x : x \in T, d_{G-S}(x) = j\}$ (T^j may be an empty set) and $|T^j| = t_j$. Let $H = G[T^1 \cup T^2 \cup \cdots \cup T^{a-1}]$, clearly $\{T^1, T^2, \cdots, T^{a-1}\}$ is a vertex partition of H and $d_H(x) \le j$ for each $x \in T^j$ $(1 \le j \le a-1)$. Then, by Corollary 3.1, there exist a *maximal* independent set I and a covering set C of H such that $I \cap C = \emptyset$ and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)c_j \le \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j(a-j)i_j, \tag{2}$$

where $c_j = |T^j \cap C|$ and $i_j = |T^j \cap I|$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, a-1$.

Let $W = G - (S \cup T)$ and $U = S \cup C \cup (N_{G-S}(I) \cap V(W))$, we have

$$|U| \leq |S| + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j i_j, \tag{3}$$

and

$$i(G-U) \geq t_0 + |I| = t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j. \tag{4}$$

Case 1. $t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j \leq 1$.

Since $T \neq \emptyset$, it follows either $t_0 = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j = 0$ or $t_0 = 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j = 1$.

If $t_0 = 1$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j = 0$, then $H = \emptyset$. Let $T = \{v\}$, by (1), we have $a + kn > b|S| \geq b(a+n) \geq a + kn$ as $|S| \geq d_G(v) \geq \delta(G) \geq a + n$ and $b \geq k$, a contradiction.

If $t_0 = 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j = 1$, then, for some $j_0 \in \{1, 2, \cdots, a-1\}$, $i_{j_0} = 1$ and $i_j = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, a-1\} - j_0$. Let $I = \{u\}$, then $a + n \leq \delta(G) \leq d_G(u) \leq |S| + j_0$ or $|S| \geq a + n - j_0$. Therefore,

$$b|S| - kn \geq b(a + n - j_0) - kn = b(a - j_0) + (bn - kn). \tag{5}$$

Since I is maximal, we see $V(H) \subseteq I \cup C$ and thus $t_j \leq i_j + c_j$. Recall that $t_0 = 0$, by (2), it yields $a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) = \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)t_j + at_0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)c_j \leq a - j_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j(a-j)i_j = a - j_0 + j_0(a-j_0)$. Combining (1), (5) and the previous inequality, we have

$$b(a-j_0) + (bn - kn) \leq b|S| - kn < a - j_0 + j_0(a - j_0)$$

or

$$ba - a < -j_0^2 + aj_0 + bj_0 - j_0. \tag{6}$$

Let $f(x) = -x^2 + (a+b-1)x$. Then the maximum value of the quadratic function $f(x)$ is $\frac{(a+b-1)^2}{4}$ when $x = \frac{a+b-1}{2}$. However, $f(x)$ can not attain this value since $x \in \{1, 2, \cdots, a-1\}$. Because $f(1) < f(2) < \cdots < f(a-1) = b(a-1)$, (6) becomes $ba - a < -j_0^2 + aj_0 + bj_0 - j_0 \leq f(a-1) = ba - b$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j \geq 2$.

From (4), we have $i(G-U) \geq t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j \geq 2$. By the definition of $I(G)$ and (4), we have

$$|U| \geq I(G) i(G-U) \geq (t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j) I(G),$$

or

$$|S| \geq \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(I(G)-j)i_j + t_0 I(G). \tag{7}$$

Recall $t_j \leq i_j + c_j$, thus (1), (2) and (7) imply

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) & = & \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)t_j + at_0 \\ & \leq & \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)c_j + at_0 \\ & \leq & \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(a-j)i_j + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} j(a-j)i_j + at_0, \end{array}$$

and

$$a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) > b|S| - kn \geq \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(bI(G)-bj)i_j + bt_0 I(G) - kn. \tag{8}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(-j^2 + (a+b-1)j)i_j > \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(bI(G)-a)i_j + bt_0 I(G) - at_0 - kn. \tag{9}$$

If $\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j = 0$, then $t_0 \geq 2$, $H = \emptyset$ and $|T| = t_0 = i(G-S)$. So $\frac{|S|}{i(G-S)} \geq I(G)$ implies $|S| \geq I(G)t_0$. By (1), $b|S| < at_0 + kn$ and thus $at_0 + kn > b|S| \geq bt_0 I(G) \geq at_0 + kn$ since $I(G) \geq a - 1 + \frac{a+kn-1}{b}$, a contradiction.

If $\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j \neq 0$, then we can see $bt_0 I(G) - at_0 - kn = t_0(bI(G)-a) - kn \geq (1-kn)\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j$ by noting $bI(G)-a \geq 0$ and recalling that $t_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j \geq 2$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{a-1} i_j \neq 0$. From (9), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(-j^2 + (a+b-1)j)i_j > \sum_{j=1}^{a-1}(bI(G) - a + 1 - kn)i_j.$$

Therefore, there is at least one $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, a-1\}$ such that $-j^2 + (a+b-1)j > bI(G) - a + 1 - kn$. But this is impossible, because $-j^2 + (a+b-1)j \leq b(a-1)$ for all the $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, a-1\}$ and $bI(G) - a + 1 - kn \geq b(a-1)$ as $I(G) \geq a - 1 + \frac{a+kn-1}{b}$.

The lemma is proven. ■

With Lemma 3.3 in the hand, we can provide short proofs for Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: If G is a complete graph, clearly the theorem holds. So we assume that G is not complete.

Suppose that G satisfies the conditions of the theorem, but there exists an n-subset $V_0 \subset V(G)$ such that $G' = G - V_0$ has no $[a,b]$-factor. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a vertex set S with $V_0 \subset S$ such that

$$\delta_G(a,b;S) = b|S| - a|T| + d_{G-S}(T) < bn, \tag{10}$$

where $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$.

If $T = \emptyset$, then (10) becomes $bn > \delta_G(a,b;S) = b|S| \geq bn$ as $|S| \geq n$, a contradiction.

If $T \neq \emptyset$, applying Lemma 3.3 with $k = b$ we conclude that (10) does not hold.

So we conclude that $G - V_0$ has $[a,b]$-factors for any n-subset $V_0 \subset V(G)$. ■

Next, we consider the existence of $[a,b]$-factors excluding an n-matching.

Proof Theorem 2.3: Suppose that G satisfies the conditions given in the theorem, but there exists a matching M in G with $|M| = n$ such that $G - M = G'$ has no $[a,b]$-factor. By Theorem 1.2, there exists some $S \subset V(G') = V(G)$ such that

$$a|T'| - d_{G'-S}(T') > b|S| \tag{11}$$

where $T' = \{x : x \in V(G') - S, d_{G'-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$. Denote $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$.

Clearly, $S \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise, $T' = \emptyset$ since $\delta(G) \geq a+n$ and then, by (11), $a|T'| - d_{G'-S}(T') = 0 > b|S| = 0$, a contradiction.

If $V(M) \subseteq S$, then $T = T'$ and $d_{G'-S}(T') = d_{G-S}(T)$. Since $\delta(G) \geq a+n$ and $I(G) \geq a - 1 + \frac{a+2n-1}{b} \geq (a-1) + \frac{a}{b}$, by Theorem 1.3, G has $[a,b]$-factors or $b|S| \geq a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) = a|T'| - d_{G'-S}(T') > b|S|$, a contradiction to (11). So we assume $V(M) \not\subseteq S$.

Let $W = G - (S \cup T)$ and $V_0 = V(M)$. Denote $V_W = \{x \in V_0 \cap W : d_{G-S}(x) = a \text{ and } \exists y \in G - S \text{ so that } xy \in M\}$. Clearly, $T' = T \cup V_W$ and the degrees of vertices of V_W in $G' - S$ are $a-1$. Therefore, $d_{G'-S}(T') = d_{G'-S}(T) + d_{G'-S}(V_W) \geq d_{G-S}(T) + d_{G-S}(V_W) - 2n$ and $d_{G-S}(V_W) = a|V_W|$. By (11), $b|S| < a|T'| - d_{G'-S}(T') = a|T| + a|V_W| - d_{G'-S}(T') \leq a|T| - d_{G-S}(T) + 2n$.

From the above discussion, to prove the theorem we need only to show that the following inequality does not hold for any $S \subset V(G)$

$$b|S| - a|T| + d_{G-S}(T) < 2n. \tag{12}$$

For any $S \subset V(G)$, if $T = \emptyset$, from (11), $T' \neq \emptyset$ and thus there exists a vertex $u \in T'$ so that $d_{G-S}(u) = a$. Thus $|S| \geq n$ as $\delta(G) \geq a + n$. So (12) becomes $0 > b|S| - 2n \geq bn - 2n \geq 0$, that is, (12) does not hold.

If $T \neq \emptyset$, applying Lemma 3.3 with $k = 2$ we conclude that (12) does not hold.

We complete the proof. ■

4 Proofs of Theorems 2.2, 2.4 and 2.5

In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. *(Las Vergnas [7]) Let G be a graph. Then G has $S(m)$-factors if and only if $i(G - S) \leq m|S|$ for any $S \subset V(G)$.*

Lemma 4.1 can be derived from Theorem 1.1 easily by letting $a = 1$ and $b = m > 1$. Using the notation of isolated toughness, Lemma 4.1 can be restated as that G has $S(m)$-factors if and only if $I(G) \geq \frac{1}{m}$.

The following proposition can be seen easily, so we omit the proof.

Lemma 4.2. *For any edge e of a graph G, then $i(G) \leq i(G-e) \leq i(G)+2$.*

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2: Let G be a graph satisfying the conditions given in the theorem, but there exists an edge set $E_0 \subset E(G)$ with $|E_0| = n \leq \frac{m}{2}$ such that $G - E_0$ has no $S(m)$-factor. Setting $G - E_0 = G'$, then, by Lemma 4.1, $I(G') < \frac{1}{m}$. That is, there exists a vertex set $S \subset V(G') = V(G)$ such that

$$i(G' - S) > m|S|. \tag{12}$$

Clearly, $S \neq \emptyset$ (since $\delta(G) \geq 1 + n$).

By Lemma 4.2, $i(G' - S) = i(G - E_0 - S) \leq i(G - S) + 2n$. We consider the following cases.

Case 1. $i(G - S) \geq 2$. Then, by the definition of $I(G)$, we have $i(G' - S) \leq i(G - S) + 2n \leq (m - n)|S| + 2n$ since $I(G) \geq \frac{1}{m-n}$.

If $|S| \geq 2$, then $i(G' - S) \leq i(G - S) + 2n \leq (m - n)|S| + 2n \leq m|S|$, a contradiction to (12).

If $|S| = 1$, let u, v be two isolated vertices in $G - S$, then $d_G(u) = d_G(v) = 1$ since S is a cut set of u and v, but this is impossible since $\delta(G) \geq 1 + n > 1$.

Case 2. $i(G - S) = 0$. In this case, $m \leq m|S| < i(G' - S) \leq 2n$, a contradiction to the condition $n \leq \frac{m}{2}$.

Case 3. $i(G-S)=1$. Then $|S| \geq n+1$ and thus $2n+2 \leq m(n+1) \leq m|S| < i(G'-S) \leq i(G-S)+2n = 2n+1$, a contradiction.

Therefore, $G-E_0$ has $S(m)$-factors for any n-subset $E_0 \subset E(G)$. ■

Proof Theorem 2.4: We verify the theorem for the case of $n=1$ first, i.e., the following claim:

Claim. If $G-x$ has $[a,b]$-factors for any $x \in V(G)$, then G has $[a,b]$-factors.

Otherwise, G has no $[a,b]$-factors and thus, by Theorem 1.2, there exists $U \subset V(G)$ such that $a|W|-d_{G-U}(W) > b|U|$, where $W=\{x : x \in V(G)-U, d_{G-U}(x) \leq a-1\}$. Choose a vertex v from U, let $U' = U-\{v\}$, then $(G-v)-U' = G-U$ and $\{x : x \in V(G-v)-U',\ d_{(G-v)-U'}(x) \leq a-1\} = W$. Therefore we have $a|W| - d_{(G-v)-U'}(W) \leq b|U'| = b|U|-b < b|U|$ since $G-v$ has $[a,b]$-factors, a contradiction since $a|W|-d_{G-U}(W) > b|U|$. Hence, G has $[a,b]$-factors.

Applying the above claim and using induction arguments, we can see that $G-V''$ has $[a,b]$-factors for any $(n-1)$-subset V'' if $G-V'$ has $[a,b]$-factors for any n-subset V'. ■

Next we present a characterization for $[a,b]$-factors excluding an edge. As an application, Theorem 2.5 can be easily derived from it. In fact, the lemma itself is of interest.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a graph and $e=uv$ be any edge of G. Then G has $[a,b]$-factors excluding the edge e if and only if*

$$\delta_G(a,b;S) \geq \rho(S)$$

holds for any $S \subseteq V(G)$, where $G' = G-e$, $T' = \{x : x \in V(G)-S, d_{G'-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$ and

$$\rho(S) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{both } u \text{ and } v \text{ belong to } T'; \\ 1 & \text{one of } \{u,v\} \text{ lies in } T' \text{ and the other is in } G-(S \cup T'); \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Suppose that for a fixed edge $e=uv$ of G, $G'=G-e$ has $[a,b]$-factors. By Theorem 1.2, for any $S \subset V(G') = V(G)$, $\delta_{G'}(a,b;S) \geq 0$. Let $W' = G'-(S \cup T')$ and $T = \{x : x \in V(G)-S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$.

Case 1. $uv \in E(T')$. If $d_{G'-S}(u) = d_{G'-S}(v) = a-1$, then $T = T'-\{u,v\}$, $d_{G'-S}(T') = d_{G'-S}(T) + d_{G'-S}(\{u,v\}) = d_{G-S}(T) + 2(a-1)$, and $0 \leq b|S| - a|T'| + d_{G'-S}(T') = b|S| - a|T| + d_{G-S}(T) - 2$ since G' has $[a,b]$-factors. Therefore, $\delta_G(a,b;S) \geq 2$. If $d_{G'-S}(u) < a-1$ and $d_{G'-S}(v) < a-1$. Then $T=T'$ and $d_{G'-S}(T') = d_{G-S}(T)-2$. Hence, $\delta_G(a,b;S) \geq 2$. If $d_{G'-S}(u) < a-1$ and $d_{G'-S}(v) = a-1$ (or $d_{G'-S}(v) < a-1$ and

$d_{G'-S}(u) = a-1$). Then $T = T' - \{v\}$ and $d_{G'-S}(T') = d_{G-S}(T) + a - 2$. Hence, $\delta_G(a, b; S) \geq 2$.

Case 2. $uv \in E(T', W')$. Without loss of generality, let $u \in T'$ and $v \in W'$, then we have $d_{G'-S}(u) \leq a-1$ and $d_{G'-S}(v) \geq a$. If $d_{G'-S}(u) < a-1$, then $T = T'$. Therefore, $0 \leq \delta_{G'}(a, b; S) = b|S| - a|T'| + d_{G'-S}(T') = \delta_G(a, b; S) - 1$, that is, $\delta_G(a, b; S) \geq 1$. If $d_{G'-S}(u) = a-1$, then $T = T' - \{u\}$. Therefore, $d_{G'-S}(T') = d_{G-S}(T) + a - 1$ and then $\delta_{G'}(a, b; S) = \delta_G(a, b; S) - 1$. Hence, $\delta_G(a, b; S) \geq 1$.

Case 3. $uv \in E(S, T' \cup W') \cup E(S) \cup E(W')$. Then $T' = T$ and $d_{G'-S}(T') = d_{G-S}(T)$. Therefore, $\delta_G(a, b; S) \geq 0$.

From the above discussion, we conclude $\delta_G(a, b; S) \geq \rho(S)$.

Next we prove the sufficiency. Suppose that there exists an edge $e_0 = uv \in E(G)$ such that $G' = G - e_0$ has no $[a, b]$-factor. By Theorem 1.2, there exists a non-empty set $S \subseteq V(G')$ such that $\delta_{G'}(a, b; S) < 0$, where $T' = \{x : x \in V(G') - S, d_{G'-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$. Let $W' = G' - (S \cup T')$ and $T = \{x : x \in V(G) - S, d_{G-S}(x) \leq a-1\}$.

If $e_0 \in E(S, T' \cup W') \cup E(S) \cup E(W')$. Then $T = T'$ and $d_{G'-S}(T') = d_{G-S}(T)$. Therefore, $0 > \delta_{G'}(a, b; S) = \delta_G(a, b; S) \geq 0$, a contradiction. If $e_0 \in E(T', W')$, say $u \in T'$ and $v \in W'$, we see that $d_{G'-S}(u) \leq a-1$ and $d_{G'-S}(v) \geq a$. Then $T \subseteq T'$ and so $0 > \delta_{G'}(a, b; S) = \delta_G(a, b; S) - 1 \geq 0$, a contradiction. If $e_0 \in E(T')$, then $T \subseteq T'$ and $0 > \delta_{G'}(a, b; S) = \delta_G(a, b; S) - 2 \geq 0$, a contradiction again.

So $G - e$ has $[a, b]$-factors for any $e \in E(G)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.5: Let S be any subset of $V(G)$.

If $S = \emptyset$, then $T = \emptyset$ and $\delta_G(a, b; S) = 0$.

If $|S| = 1$, then $|T| = 0$ (since $\delta(G) \geq a + 2$) and thus $\delta_G(a, b; S) = b|S| = b \geq 2$.

If $|S| \geq 2$, then there exist vertices $x, y \in S$. Let $V' = \{x, y\}$ in Lemma 3.1, since $G - \{x, y\}$ has $[a, b]$-factors, then we have $\delta_G(a, b; S) \geq 2b > 2$.

Therefore, we conclude $\delta_G(a, b; S) \geq \rho(S)$ for any $S \subset V(G)$. By Lemma 4.3, $G - e$ has $[a, b]$-factors. ■

Acknowledgments The authors are indebted to the anonymous referees for their constructive comments.

References

[1] R. P. Anstee, Simplified existence theorems for (g, f)-factors, *Discrete Applied Math.* 27(1990), 29-38.

[2] C. P. Chen, Y. Egawa, M. Kano, Star factors with given properties, *Ars Combin.* 28 (1989), 65-70.

[3] V. Chvátal, Tough graphs and hamiltonian circuits, *Discrete Math.* 5(1973), 215-228.

[4] H. Enomoto, B. Jackson, P. Katerinis and A. Saito, Toughness and the existence of k-factors, *J. Graph Theory* 9(1985), 87-95.

[5] K. Heinrich, P. Hell, D. Kirkpatrick and G. Z. Liu, A simple existence criterion for (g, f)-factors, *Discrete Math.* 85(1990), 313-317.

[6] P. Katerinis, Toughness of graphs and the existence of factors, *Discrete Math.* 80(1990), 81-92.

[7] M. Las Vergnas, An extension of Tutte's 1-factors of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 2(1972), 241-255.

[8] G. Z. Liu and Q. L. Yu, Star-factors of vertex-deletion graphs, *Congressus Numerantium*, Vol. 107 (1995), 155-160.

[9] Y. H. Ma and G. Z. Liu, Isolated toughness and existence of fractional factors in graphs, *Acta Appl. Math. Sinica* (in Chinese) 26(2003), 133-140.